

PhD in Economics (16th Cycle)
Econometrics test (2015-09-15)

Name: _____

Below, you'll find three exercises; number 1 is obligatory. Then, you have the choice between number 2 and number 3.

1. Say if the following statements are unambiguously true (TRUE), unambiguously false (FALSE) or impossible to classify the way they are stated (CAN'T SAY). Write the motivations to your answers only in the space provided. Answers with no motivations will not be considered.

(a) An idempotent matrix is invertible.

TRUE FALSE CAN'T SAY

(b) The estimators \bar{X}_1 and \bar{X}_2 are consistent for μ . Then $\beta\bar{X}_1 + (1 - \beta)\bar{X}_2 \xrightarrow{p} \mu$ only if $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$.

TRUE FALSE CAN'T SAY

(c) In the Hausman test, one of the two estimators compared has to be consistent under both H_0 and H_1 .

TRUE FALSE CAN'T SAY

(d) The null hypothesis of the DF test is the stationarity of the time series.

TRUE FALSE CAN'T SAY

(e) Neglected heteroskedasticity provokes inconsistency in the probit model.

TRUE FALSE CAN'T SAY

2. Let \mathbf{x}_1 be a vector of variables, let x_2 be a continuous variables, and let x_3 be a dummy variable. y is a the binary dependent variable.

(a) In the logit model

$$P(y = 1|\mathbf{x}_1, x_2, x_3) = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3), \quad (1)$$

find the partial effects of x_2 and x_3 on the response probability. How would you estimate these partial effects?

- (b) The binary dependent variable y is equal to 1 if a man was arrested at least once during 1986 in the US, and 0 otherwise. The explanatory variables are *durat* which measures the number of months of recent unemployment duration, *income* is income (in thousand \$), *black* is a dummy equal to 1 if the individual is black, *hispan* is a dummy equal to 1 if the individual is Hispanic. Individual with *black* = 0 and *hispan* = 0 are white. The estimation of a logit model of y , with explanatory variables *durat*, *income*, *black*, and *hispan*, returns the estimated parameters reported in Table 1.

| | Coefficient | Std. Error | z | p-value |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|---------|
| const | -0.939793 | 0.0792563 | -11.8576 | 0.0000 |
| durat | 0.0200870 | 0.00936375 | 2.1452 | 0.0319 |
| income | -0.0659921 | 0.00883824 | -7.4667 | 0.0000 |
| black | 0.766025 | 0.115345 | 6.6412 | 0.0000 |
| hispan | 0.448444 | 0.107716 | 4.1632 | 0.0000 |
| Mean dependent var | 0.277064 | S.D. dependent var | 0.447631 | |
| McFadden R^2 | 0.050652 | Adjusted R^2 | 0.047543 | |
| Log-likelihood | -1526.725 | Akaike criterion | 3063.451 | |
| Schwarz criterion | 3093.002 | Hannan-Quinn | 3074.132 | |

Number of cases 'correctly predicted' = 1985 (72.8 percent)

Likelihood ratio test: $\chi^2(4) = 162.917$ [0.0000]

Table 1: Probability of being arrested in 1986 (logit model, 2725 individuals)

Provide an economic interpretation to the estimated parameters of the variables *durat*, *income*, *black*, and *hispan*.

- (c) On the basis of the estimation results reported in Table 1, compute the probabilities of having been arrested at least once for a "typical" white man, a "typical" black man, and a "typical" Hispanic if *durat* = 0. Motivate your choices on the basis of Table 2.

| | White | Black | Hispanic | Total |
|---------|--------|---------|----------|--------|
| n | 1693 | 439 | 593 | 2725 |
| Mean | 6.0726 | 3.2628 | 5.5064 | 5.4967 |
| Median | 3.5800 | 0.57000 | 3.1300 | 2.9000 |
| Minimum | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Maximum | 50.440 | 36.910 | 54.100 | 54.100 |

Table 2: Descriptive statistics on income

3. Suppose that the direct taxation system works as follows (y_t is annual income, for which we assume $\Delta y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t$, with $\mu > 0$):

- on year t , in November, taxpayers pay an advance, which is a fraction of their income from previous year: $a_t = \gamma y_{t-1}$;
- on year $t + 1$, in May, total taxes are calculated by applying the rate ϕ on the income of the previous year, plus a fixed adjustment u_{t+1} ;
- the total balance due at $t + 1$ is obtained by subtracting a_t from the quantity calculated above.

Indicate the time series of tax revenues as x_t ; under the assumption

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_t \\ u_t \end{bmatrix} \sim WN \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

(a) Write the system $\begin{bmatrix} x_t & y_t \end{bmatrix}'$ using matrix notation (as a VAR model).

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{bmatrix} =$$

(b) Prove that x_t is nonstationary.

(c) Calculate $E(\Delta x_t)$.

$$E(\Delta x_t) = \underline{\hspace{4cm}}$$

(d) Time series x_t and y_t are clearly cointegrated. Given the answer you provide in (a), write the VECM model.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_t \\ \Delta y_t \end{bmatrix} =$$

(e) Write the second component of the normalised cointegrating vector β .

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \quad \end{bmatrix}$$